

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/040,450	01/09/2002	Hiroshi Uchida	000362A 2853			
23850	23850 7590 10/03/2003			EXAMINER		
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000			MUROMOTO JR, ROBERT H			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ΓON, DC 20006	3765				
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*		Application No		Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Comments	10/040,450		UCHIDA ET AL.	Qu			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	7	Robert H Muron		3765				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess			
THE   - Exte after - If the   - If NC   - Failu   - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory mi will apply and will expire cause the application	vever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this comn of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J	uly 2003 .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	s action is non-f	inal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	ion of Claims							
	Claim(s) 3-5 is/are pending in the application.	_						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election require	ement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		ted to by the Evan	ninor				
. 5/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•					
11)[] -	The proposed drawing correction filed on							
•	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep							
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		· · · ·					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been rece	eived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/571,320</u> .							
* \$	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burdee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ty documents ha	ave been received 17.2(a)).	d in this National Sta	age			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		•		anliantion)			
a	$\bigcap$ The translation of the foreign language prov	visional applicati	on has been rece	ived.	pplication).			
15)∐ Attachment	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	55 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121.				
1)  Notice 2)  Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s). atent Application (PTO-1				

Application/Control Number: 10/040,450

Art Unit: 3765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/571,320, filed on May 15, 2000.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Calamito et al.

Calamito et al. discloses an integrally woven multi-apertured multi-layer angle (3-D, 5 axial) interlock fabric. The fabric 10 has a plurality of apertures 12 formed within the thickness and extending across the width of the fabric (divisibly woven sections). Figures 1 and 2 clearly show a structure used as a solid structural member from a 3-D woven fabric with apertures 12 which represent the "divisibly woven" sections as recited by the instant invention.

The structure of Calamito is identical to the structure of the instant invention. The recitation "for use is manufacturing a solid I-beam material" is considered inherent to the Calamito disclosure in that the structure being identical the material of Calamito could also be used to manufacture a solid I-beam.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,450

Art Unit: 3765

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Calamito.

Although Calamito teaches all of the limitations of claim 3, Calamito does not teach a stacked honeycomb arrangement. However, Calamito does teach that the fabric could use the divisibly woven sections to produce a structure in fig.3 that is circular in nature rather than honeycomb for use as a structural member.

In that the shape of the member is given no criticality or unexpected results it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use to material of Calamito to provide structural members using the divisibly woven structures to provide some shape whether round or similar to round.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/23/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Calamito disclosure does not anticipate the the I-beam structure recited in claim 3. However, claim 3 recites "<u>fabric for use</u> in manufacturing an I-beam..."; this statement does not add any structural limitations to the invention. Since Calamito teaches each an every structural limitation of claim 3 it

follows that the Calamito structure would also be able to be used to manufacture an I-beam.

Claims 4 and 5 are new claims with new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert H Muromoto, Jr. whose telephone number is 703-306-5503. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-530, M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,450

Art Unit: 3765

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on 703-305-1025. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

Bhm

September 23, 2003

JOHN CALVERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700